IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR

Writ Petition No. 1798 of 1998

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC. OR APPROPRIATE DIRECTION

Petitioner : Shri Jyoti Bhushan Pratap Singh, aged about 56 years, sonof Shri Rudra Pratap Singh, resident of Pratap Bhawan, Tikal Nagar, Bilaspur Teh.& Distt. Bilaspur (MP).

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary, Department of Commerce and Industrial, Government of M.P. Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MP).

2. Shri S.C. Tiwari, Deputy Registrar, Firms and Societies M.P., Old Secretriate, Bhopal M.P.

3. Shri Ajay Choubey, The Assistant Registrar, Firms & Societies, Bilaspur M.P.

4. Amended by order passed by Hon'ble Justice Shri A. Garg on 11.3.99. Kamla Nehru Mahavidhyalaya Samiti Korba District Bilaspur (M.P.) through (Shri Dinanath Pandey), Principal, Kamla Nehru Mahavidhyalaya, Korba, Distt. Bilaspur M.P.

5. Jash Raj Jai, Contractor, Korba, through Principal Kamla Nehru Mahavidhyalaya Korba, Distt. Bilaspur M.P.

Attested by Oath Commissioner

Surendra Kumar Khare
S. K. Khare
Oath Commissioner JBP.

R - 6. Shri Dayal Singh,
President, Kamla Nehru Mahvidhyalaya
Korba, Distt. Bilaspur M.P.

7. Dr. Bansilal Mehta,
Samittee Pratinidhi, Kamla Nehru
Mahavidhyalaya, Korba, Main Road,
Korba, Distt. Bilaspur M.P.

(169)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P./1298/1981 **सन् ~~200~~**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17.11.2005 Ms. Puspa Dwivedi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri R.R.Sinha, learned P.L. forthe respondent 1. Shri S.R.J.Jaiswal, learned counsel for respondents No. 4 & 5. Heard on I.A.No. 9182/05, application for substitution of name of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner Jyoti Bhushan Pratap Singh-the husband of the applicant died on 17.01.2001. However, since the applicant did not know about the pendency of the present petition, she could not file the application within time and therefore, an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for substitution of the name of the petitioner, has also been filed as I.A.No.9183/05 which is supported by an affidavit of the applicant. Also heard on I.A.No. 9183/2005, application for condonation of delay in filing the application for substitution of the name of the petitioner. Accordingly, I.A.Nos. 9183/2005 and 9182/2005 are allowed for the reasons indicated in the applications.The name of the applicant is substituted in place of the petitioner. Also heard on I.A.No.9184/2005, application for withdrawal of the instant petition. It is stated in the application that during pendency of the present petition, the members of the Managing Committee have resolved their disputes and the Committee is functioning smoothly and in view of the above, the applicant seeks to withdraw this petition. Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	